UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,842	04/13/2006	Toshiyuki Masuda	5404148	5148
	7590 12/05/200 ER GILSON & LIONE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, IL		EDWARDS, NEWTON O		
CIIICAGO, IL	00010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/575,	842	MASUDA, TOSHIYUKI			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		N. EDW		1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>11 February 2</i>	2008.				
· •							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
· —	The specification is objected to by the		_				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/06,8/3/06,10/10/06,9/24</u>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,842 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Masuda (JP 2003-221733).

Masuda teaches a combination of human hair and polyester fibers (fiber bundle) in any amount wherein the polyester fiber Limited Oxygen Index (LOI) Of equal to or more than 25, a heat shrinkage (thermal shrinkage) of less than or equal to 5 %, and a single fiber fineness of 30-70 dtex. See applicant's abstract, claims 1 and 14, and paragraph 3, for example. Masuda further teaches the polyester fiber composition can be a polyalkylene terephthalate with phosphorus flame retardants and /or a brominated flame retardants (which meet claim 2,3,4,and 5). See paragraphs 20, 27-31,42-43, and 72 for example. Masuda still further teaches that fibers can be made into a wig which is a hair accessory and head decoration product at paragraph 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,842 Page 3

Art Unit: 1794

Regarding the issue of amount of artificial hair (polyester fibers) in the bundle, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary and control the amount of s polyester fiber to the fiber bundle in accordance with the desired need of the user or end product requirement.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masuda (JP2003-22733) taken with Masuda 2 (JP 2003-071014 or WO 03/071014).

Masuda is applied for all the reason given above which is hereby incorporated by reference. Masuda teaches all of the invention except the organic fine particles and/ or inorganic particles of claims 6,7, and 8. Masuda 2 teaches it is well known in the art of polyester fiber wigs combined with hair to include organic particles of claim 7 and/or inorganic particle of claim 8 to the polyester fiber in order to increase the fiber's flame retardency. See applicant abstract and claims 10-12. Thus it would have been obvious to on have ordinary skill in the art to include the particles ,as taught by Masuda 2, in the polyester fiber ,as taught by Masuda, in order to increase the flame retardency of the polyester fibers.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,842 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. EDWARDS whose telephone number is (571)272-1521. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur from 6:00 am to 2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/N Edwards/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794